

7 Topology_ZF_1b.thy

```
theory Topology_ZF_1b imports Topology_ZF_1
```

```
begin
```

One of the facts demonstrated in every class on General Topology is that in a T_2 (Hausdorff) topological space compact sets are closed. Formalizing the proof of this fact gave me an interesting insight into the role of the Axiom of Choice (AC) in many informal proofs.

A typical informal proof of this fact goes like this: we want to show that the complement of K is open. To do this, choose an arbitrary point $y \in K^c$. Since X is T_2 , for every point $x \in K$ we can find an open set U_x such that $y \notin \overline{U_x}$. Obviously $\{U_x\}_{x \in K}$ covers K , so select a finite subcollection that covers K , and so on. I have never realized that such reasoning requires the Axiom of Choice. Namely, suppose we have a lemma that states "In T_2 spaces, if $x \neq y$, then there is an open set U such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin \overline{U}$ " (like our lemma `T2_c1_open_sep` below). This only states that the set of such open sets U is not empty. To get the collection $\{U_x\}_{x \in K}$ in this proof we have to select one such set among many for every $x \in K$ and this is where we use the Axiom of Choice. Probably in 99/100 cases when an informal calculus proof states something like $\forall \varepsilon \exists \delta_\varepsilon \dots$ the proof uses AC. Most of the time the use of AC in such proofs can be avoided. This is also the case for the fact that in a T_2 space compact sets are closed.

7.1 Compact sets are closed - no need for AC

In this section we show that in a T_2 topological space compact sets are closed.

First we prove a lemma that in a T_2 space two points can be separated by the closure of an open set.

```
lemma (in topology0) T2_c1_open_sep:
  assumes A1: T {is T2} and A2: x ∈ ∪T y ∈ ∪T x≠y
  shows ∃U∈T. (x∈U ∧ y ∉ cl(U))
proof -
  from A1 A2 have ∃U∈T. ∃V∈T. x∈U ∧ y∈V ∧ U∩V=0
    using isT2_def by simp
  then obtain U V where U∈T V∈T x∈U y∈V U∩V=0
    by auto
  then have U∈T ∧ x∈U ∧ y∈V ∧ cl(U) ∩ V = 0 using open_disj_cl_disj

  by simp
  thus ∃U∈T. (x∈U ∧ y ∉ cl(U)) by auto
qed
```

AC-free proof that in a Hausdorff space compact sets are closed. To understand the notation recall that in Isabelle/ZF $\text{Pow}(A)$ is the powerset (the set of subsets) of A and $\text{Fin}(A)$ is the set of finite subsets of A .

```

theorem (in topology0) in_t2_compact_is_cl:
  assumes A1: T {is T2} and A2: K {is compact in} T
  shows K {is closed in} T
proof -
  let X =  $\bigcup T$ 
  have  $\forall y \in X - K. \exists U \in T. y \in U \wedge U \subseteq X - K$ 
proof -
  { fix y assume A3:  $y \in X \ y \notin K$ 
  have  $\exists U \in T. y \in U \wedge U \subseteq X - K$ 
  proof -
    let B =  $\bigcup_{x \in K}. \{V \in T. x \in V \wedge y \notin \text{cl}(V)\}$ 
    have I:  $B \in \text{Pow}(T) \ \text{Fin}(B) \subseteq \text{Pow}(B)$ 
      using Fin.dom_subset by auto
    from A2 A3 have  $\forall x \in K. x \in X \wedge y \in X \wedge x \neq y$ 
      using IsCompact_def by auto
    with A1 have  $\forall x \in K. \{V \in T. x \in V \wedge y \notin \text{cl}(V)\} \neq \emptyset$ 
      using T2_cl_open_sep by auto
    hence  $K \subseteq \bigcup B$  by blast
    with A2 I have  $\exists N \in \text{Fin}(B). K \subseteq \bigcup N$  using IsCompact_def
      by auto
    then obtain N where D1:  $N \in \text{Fin}(B) \ K \subseteq \bigcup N$ 
      by auto
    with I have  $N \subseteq B$  by auto
    hence II:  $\forall V \in N. V \in B$  by auto
    let M =  $\{\text{cl}(V). V \in N\}$ 
    let C =  $\{D \in \text{Pow}(X). D \text{ {is closed in} } T\}$ 
    from topSpaceAssum have
       $\forall V \in B. (\text{cl}(V) \text{ {is closed in} } T)$ 
       $\forall V \in B. (\text{cl}(V) \in \text{Pow}(X))$ 
      using IsATopology_def cl_is_closed IsClosed_def
      by auto
    hence  $\forall V \in B. \text{cl}(V) \in C$  by simp
    moreover from D1 have  $N \in \text{Fin}(B)$  by simp
    ultimately have  $M \in \text{Fin}(C)$  by (rule fin_image_fin)
    then have  $X - \bigcup M \in T$  using Top_3_L6 IsClosed_def
      by simp
    moreover from A3 II have  $y \in X - \bigcup M$  by simp
    moreover have  $X - \bigcup M \subseteq X - K$ 
  proof -
    from II have  $\bigcup N \subseteq \bigcup M$  using cl_contains_set by auto
    with D1 show  $X - \bigcup M \subseteq X - K$  by auto
  qed
  ultimately have  $\exists U. U \in T \wedge y \in U \wedge U \subseteq X - K$ 
    by auto
  thus  $\exists U \in T. y \in U \wedge U \subseteq X - K$  by auto
  }
qed

```

```
    } thus  $\forall y \in X - K. \exists U \in \mathcal{T}. y \in U \wedge U \subseteq X - K$ 
      by auto
  qed
  with A2 show K {is closed in} T
    using open_neigh_open IsCompact_def IsClosed_def by auto
  qed
end
```